

JUN 19 2006

PATENTIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Richard Jean-Pierre	Confirmation No.	7492
Serial No.:	10/670,595		
Filed:	September 25, 2003	Customer No.:	28863
Examiner:	Michael Collins		
Group Art Unit:	3651		
Docket No.:	1076-002US01		
Title:	PRESCRIPTION DRUG COMPLIANCE MONITORING SYSTEM		

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This response is to the Office Action mailed May 19, 2006. Claims 1-32 have been restricted under 35 U.S.C. § 121 as follows:

- I. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-11, 14-21, 23-26 and 28-32, drawn to a medication compliance device, classified in class 700, subclass 234;
- II. Claims 3, 6, 7, 12, 13, 22 and 27, drawn to a portable cap and collar with a pivoting base, classified in class 221, subclass 9.

Applicants hereby provisionally elect Group II, reading on claims 3, 6, 7, 12, 13, 22 and 27, with traverse. Group II is elected with the understanding that independent claims 1 and 11 will be examined along with the claims of Group II.

During a telephone interview with Jason D. Kelly, Applicant's representative of record, on June 15, 2006, the Examiner stated that with the election of Group II, independent claims 1 and 11 would be examined in addition to the elected claims pursuant to MPEP 809.03. Section 809.03 of the MPEP pertains to a restriction requirement relating to two or more inventions linked by a claim which, if allowable, would prevent restriction. A Summary of the Examiner Interview is submitted herewith.